

Remarks

This amendment is presented in response to the Final Office Action as well as in response to the Interview Summary dated October 30, 2007. In the Interview Summary, the Examiner concluded that the final rejection was proper since he asserted that claim 21 was broadened by removing the limitation of "the control voltage". The Applicant presented a proposed amendment to claim 21 and the Examiner considered the proposed amendment to have new limitations since the limitation of "an oscillator input of the second switch-mode power supply unit for reducing the switching frequency" from the original claim was removed. This amendment to claim 21 restores the original recitation of "said first output and the control voltage being used in standby operation for reducing the switching frequency of said second switch-mode power supply unit". Having addressed the issues presented in the Examiners Interview Summary, claim 21 is submitted to be in condition for allowance. Entry of the amendment and reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claim 22 is objected to because of an informality wherein "the regulating voltage" lacks antecedent basis. The antecedent basis problem in claim 22 has been corrected.

For all of the foregoing reasons and in view of the foregoing amendments, Applicant respectfully contends that the application is now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests entry of the foregoing amendments, reconsideration and allowance of claims 21, 22, and 24-31, and issuance of a Patent for the subject invention.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 27, 2008

/sa/
Salvatore Anastasi
Registration No. 39,090
Attorney for Applicant
Phone: (610) 722-3899
Facsimile: (610) 889-3699